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## 1. Introduction

The long range Bethe Ansatz solution of the mixing problem in $\mathcal{N}=4 \mathrm{SYM}$ allows to compute multi-loop anomalous dimensions of various composite operators in a very efficient way [1]. The main and unique obstacle is the celebrated wrapping problem [2] setting an upper bound on the achievable order in the loop expansion. Within this bound, the exact perturbative anomalous dimensions are recovered without approximation.

In some cases, we are interested in parametric classes of operators where we would like to compute the spectrum as a closed function of the characterizing parameters (Lorentz spin, operator length, etc.). This is a much more difficult question than merely asking the value of the anomalous dimensions at a specific point in the parameter space.

A positive answer beyond one-loop is not known in the general case, but is available for certain specific classes of operators where additional insight saves the day. A very simple example is that of the composite operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{O}_{L}=\operatorname{Tr} \mathcal{F}^{L}+\text { higher order mixing }, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{F}$ is a component of the self-dual field strength [3-[6]. In this case, it is possible to derive compact five loop expressions for the anomalous dimension as a closed function of the parameter $L$ 6].

A much wider and more interesting class is that of quasipartonic operators (7). They span an integrable sector of QCD and its various supersymmetric generalizations, including of course $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM. In the cases relevant to our discussion, they take the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{O}_{N}=\sum_{n_{1}+\cdots+n_{L}} c_{n_{1}, \ldots, n_{L}} \operatorname{Tr}\left(D^{n_{1}} X \cdots D^{n_{L}} X\right), \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D$ is a light-cone projected covariant derivative and $X$ can be an elementary scalar $(\varphi)$ or a so-called good component of the gaugino $(\lambda)$ or gauge $(A)$ fields. In this context, $L$ is the twist of the operator and $N$ is the total Lorentz spin.

The case when $X$ is a scalar identifies the $\mathfrak{s l}(2)$ sector which is closed at all orders in the gauge coupling [8]. The gaugino case describes special operators in the purely fermionic closed $\mathfrak{s l}(2 \mid 1)$ subsector which evolve autonomously under dilatations 9. Finally, the gauge operators close at one-loop and have a complicated pattern of higher order mixing [10].

In general, the one-loop classification of states is made simpler by the underlying collinear $\mathfrak{s l}(2)$ algebra under which the charges of $\varphi, \lambda, A$ are $s=\frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2}$ respectively 11]. The twist $L$ states belong to $[s]^{\otimes L}$ and can be decomposed in irreducible infinite dimensional $\mathfrak{s l}(2)$ modules. The twist- 2 case is very special since supersymmetry links together the three physical values of $s$ [12, 13]. One has a single supermultiplets and a universal anomalous dimension $\gamma_{\text {univ }}(N)$ describing (with trivial shifts in the Lorentz spin) all the states. This anomalous dimension can be computed at 3 loops as a closed function of $N$ by invoking the Kotikov, Lipatov, Onishchenko and Velizhanin (KLOV) principle leading to a simple Ansatz in terms of nested harmonic sums (14).

The case of twist-3 is more complicated. There are in principle three distinct series of modules associated to each elementary field [15]. Detailed results, including a nice application of superconformal symmetry, are described in [16-18] for the scalar sector, (19] for the gaugino sector, and [20, 21] for the gluon sector.

A curious fact is that the ground state (i.e. that with smallest anomalous dimension) admits again closed expressions in all sectors based on suitably generalized KLOV-like principles. As soon as one moves to higher twist, even in the simplest case of $X=\varphi$, it is easy to check that no simple closed expressions describe the ground state whose anomalous dimension is irrational. Therefore, the following basic questions naturally arise:

1. Why are twist- 2 and 3 so special ?
2. Can we generalize the twist- 2 and 3 closed expressions to higher twists?

The aim of this paper is precisely that of answering the above questions. We shall show that, at higher twist, it is possible to consider sums of (powers of) anomalous dimensions of the ground and excited states. For these combinations, we shall provide quite simple closed formulas as well as compact sum rules which are parametric in both $N$ and the twist $L$.

These expressions are a simple hidden constraint on the anomalous dimension whose precise nature is not clear. In particular, they suggest similar sum rules at strong coupling for the dual string states discussed for instance in [22-26].

The plan of the paper is the following. In section (2) we recall some basic facts concerning the $X X X_{-s}$ integrable spin chain and provide several explicit examples in section (3). The outcome of this analysis is summarized in section (4). In section (5) we recall a few important properties of the large spin expansion of twist anomalous dimensions. In section (6) we propose linear sum rules for the singlet anomalous dimensions of various twist operators. In section (7) we present three loop results for these sum rules in the scalar sector. These results suggest a twist-dependent conjecture formulated in section (8). Various checks are performed in section (9). The subleading corrections at large spin are computed in section (10). Quadratic sum rules are proposed in section (11), elaborated
in section (12) and checked in section (13). A few results for cubic sum rules are finally presented in section (14). Various appendices are devoted to some technical results.

## 2. The integrable $\boldsymbol{X} X X_{-s}$ chain

In this section, we briefly recall a few basic facts about the integrable $X X X_{-s}$ spin chain. It describes the one-loop mixing of twist- $L$ quasipartonic operators built with elementary collinear conformal spin $s$ fields. A nice and accessible recent review on this standard material is [27]. Our presentation is brief and just sets up the language for the later discussion.

### 2.1 Basic facts

The $X X X_{-s}$ chain is a quantum spin chain with $\mathfrak{s l}(2, \mathbb{R})$ symmetry. Each site carries the infinite dimensional $[s]$ representation of the $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ collinear conformal group. The decomposition rule for the tensor products of this representation reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
[s] \otimes[s]=\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty}[s+n], \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and can be used to analyze the states of a chain with $L$ sites. The local spin chain integrable Hamiltonian reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\sum_{n=1}^{L} H_{n, n+1}, \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{n, n+1}=\psi\left(J_{n, n+1}\right)-\psi(2 s), \quad \psi(z)=\frac{d}{d z} \log \Gamma(z) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The quantity $J_{n, n+1}$ is the spin of the two-site states

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\vec{S}_{n}+\vec{S}_{n+1}\right)^{2}=J_{n, n+1}\left(J_{n, n+1}-1\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This Hamiltonian is integrable and can be studied by Bethe Ansatz or Baxter techniques.

### 2.2 Relation with $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM operators and anomalous dimensions

The $X X X_{-s}$ Hamiltonian is the mixing matrix for the one-loop renormalization of planar composite operators of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{O}_{L}(N)=\sum_{n_{1}+\cdots+n_{L}=N} c_{n_{1}, \ldots, n_{L}} \operatorname{Tr}\left\{D_{+}^{n_{1}} X \cdots D_{+}^{n_{L}} X\right\}, \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s=1 / 2,1,3 / 2$ for the physical cases $X=\varphi, \lambda, A$. A straightforward application of eq. (2.1) leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
[s]^{\otimes L}=\bigoplus_{N=0}^{\infty} g_{L}(N)\left[\frac{L}{2}+N\right], \quad g_{L}(N)=\binom{N+L-1}{L-1} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which tells that there are $g_{L}(N)$ highest weight states with Lorentz spin $N$. These are in 1-1 correspondence with the non-trivial (i.e. without roots at infinity) solutions of the Bethe Ansatz equations (BAE)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{u_{k}+i s}{u_{k}-i s}\right)^{L}=\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq k}}^{N} \frac{u_{k}-u_{j}-i}{u_{k}-u_{j}+i} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

A short calculation also provides the energy and momentum charges

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{2 s}{u_{k}^{2}+s^{2}}, \quad e^{i P}=\prod_{k=1}^{N} \frac{u_{k}-i s}{u_{k}+i s} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

These energies are the one-loop anomalous dimensions of the above operators, say

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma=\frac{\lambda}{8 \pi} E \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda$ is the 't Hooft large $N_{c}$ coupling.
Clearly, it is complicated to enumerate the full set of solutions to the BAE. A useful alternative method is the Baxter approach described in the next section.

### 2.3 The Baxter equation for the $X X X_{-s}$ chain

An alternative approach to the solution of the BAE is based on the Baxter approach 28. The main tool is the Baxter operator whose eigenvalues $Q(u)$ obey a relatively simple functional equation. If $Q(u)$ is assumed to be a polynomial, then the Baxter equation is equivalent to the algebraic Bethe Ansatz equations for its roots to be identified with the Bethe roots. A more general discussion can be found in [27, 29].

In practice, the Baxter approach in the present case is quite simply stated. One introduces the Baxter function which is the minimal polynomial with roots equal to the Bethe roots

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(u)=\prod_{k=1}^{N}\left(u-u_{k}\right) . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The BA equations are equivalent to the Baxter equation that we write for general conformal $\operatorname{spin} s$ (although we shall be mainly interested in the case $s=1 / 2$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
(u+i s)^{L} Q(u+i)+(u-i s)^{L} Q(u-i)=t(u) Q(u) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
t(u) & =2 u^{L}+q_{2} u^{L-2}+q_{3} u^{L-3}+\cdots+q_{L}  \tag{2.12}\\
q_{2} & =-(N+L s)(N+L s-1)+L s(s-1) \tag{2.13}
\end{align*}
$$

The quantities $q_{3}, \ldots, q_{L}$ have the meaning of quantum numbers. They must be obtained by consistence of the Baxter equation and the assumption of a polynomial Baxter function. Once $Q$ is found, the energy and momentum can be written in terms of $Q$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=i\left[(\log Q(u))^{\prime}\right]_{-i s}^{+i s}, \quad e^{i P}=\frac{Q(+i s)}{Q(-i s)} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. The $X X X_{-s}$ chain at twist $L=2, \ldots, 5$

In order to set the stage for the later discussion and introduction of sum rules, we now illustrate very explicitly the structure of the Baxter equation at various small twists $L=$ $2, \ldots, 5$. Our results will be generically valid positive values $s>0$ of the (quantized) conformal spin.

### 3.1 Twist 2

For $L=2$ and Lorentz spin $N$, the Baxter equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
(u+i s)^{2} Q(u+i)+(u-i s)^{2} Q(u-i)=t(u) Q(u) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
t(u) & =2 u^{2}+q_{2},  \tag{3.2}\\
q_{2} & =N-N^{2}-4 N s-2 s^{2} . \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

In this case, there are no additional quantum numbers. This follows from the trivial multiplicities in

$$
\begin{equation*}
[s] \otimes[s]=\bigoplus_{N=0}^{\infty}[2 s+N] \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Baxter polynomial with degree $N$ (even or odd) is 30]

$$
Q(u)={ }_{3} F_{2}\left(\begin{array}{ccc|}
-N & N+4 s-1 & s-i u  \tag{3.5}\\
2 s & 2 s & \\
& 1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The Baxter polynomial has parity

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(-u)=(-1)^{N} Q(u) \quad \longrightarrow \quad e^{i P}=(-1)^{N} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The energy is

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=i\left[(\log Q(u))^{\prime}\right]_{-i s}^{+i s}=4[\psi(N+2 s)-\psi(2 s)], \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(z)=\frac{d}{d z} \log \Gamma(z) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.2 Twist 3

For $L=3$ and $\operatorname{spin} N$, the Baxter equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
(u+i s)^{3} Q(u+i)+(u-i s)^{3} Q(u-i)=t(u) Q(u) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
t(u) & =2 u^{3}+q_{2} u+q_{3}  \tag{3.10}\\
q_{2} & =N-N^{2}-6 N s-6 s^{2} \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

In this case, there is an additional quantum number. This is related to the multiplicities in

$$
\begin{equation*}
[s] \otimes[s] \otimes[s]=\bigoplus_{n_{1}, n_{2}=0}^{\infty}\left[3 s+n_{1}+n_{2}\right]=\bigoplus_{N=0}^{\infty}(N+1)[3 s+N] \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, looking for a polynomial solution to the Baxter equation we find the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(q_{3}\right)=0, \quad \operatorname{deg} P=N+1 \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us analyze the solutions for real $s>0$.
Even $N$. For even $N$, the polynomial $P$ reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(q_{3}\right)=q_{3} R\left(q_{3}\right), \quad R\left(q_{3}\right)=R\left(-q_{3}\right) \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence we can have $q_{3}=0$ or $q_{3}= \pm q^{*}$ for some values of $q^{*} \neq 0$. The Baxter function associated with $q_{3}=0$ is even and is associated with a zero momentum state which turns out to be the ground state.

The explicit form of $Q(u)$ is known [31] and reads

$$
Q(u)={ }_{4} F_{3}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
-\frac{N}{2} & \frac{N}{2}+3 s-\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2}+i u \quad \frac{1}{2}-i u & 1  \tag{3.15}\\
\frac{1}{2}+s & \frac{1}{2}+s & \frac{1}{2}+s
\end{array}\right)=Q(-u) .
$$

To compute the energy, it is convenient to relate $Q$ to the Wilson polynomials

$$
\frac{W_{n}\left(u^{2}, a, b, c, d\right)}{(a+b)_{n}(a+c)_{n}(a+d)_{n}}={ }_{4} F_{3}\left(\left.\begin{array}{cccc}
-n & n+a+b+c+d-1 & a+i u & a-i u  \tag{3.16}\\
a+b & a+c & a+d &
\end{array} \right\rvert\, 1\right) .
$$

We find apart from a trivial scaling

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(u)=W_{N / 2}\left(u^{2}, s, s, s, \frac{1}{2}\right) \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the following formula from the appendix B of [31]

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.i \frac{d}{d u} W_{n}\left(u^{2}, a, a, c, d\right)\right|_{u=i a}=  \tag{3.18}\\
& \quad=\psi(n+a+c)-\psi(a+c)+\psi(n+a+d)-\psi(a+d) \tag{3.19}
\end{align*}
$$

and the fact that $W$ is invariant under permutations of $a, b, c, d$, we find the result

$$
\begin{align*}
& E=i\left[(\log Q(u))^{\prime}\right]_{-i s}^{+i s}=  \tag{3.20}\\
& \quad 2\left[\psi\left(\frac{N}{2}+2 s\right)-\psi(2 s)+\psi\left(\frac{N}{2}+s+\frac{1}{2}\right)-\psi\left(s+\frac{1}{2}\right)\right] \tag{3.21}
\end{align*}
$$

Notice that for the interesting values $s=1 / 2,1,3 / 2$ we can simplify the resulting expressions and find

$$
\begin{array}{llrl}
s=\frac{1}{2}, & & E(N)=4\left[\psi\left(\frac{N}{2}+1\right)-\psi(1)\right] \\
s=1, & & E(N)=4[\psi(N+3)-\psi(3)] \\
s=\frac{3}{2}, & & E(N)=2\left[\psi\left(\frac{N}{2}+3\right)+\psi\left(\frac{N}{2}+2\right)-\psi(3)-\psi(2)\right] . \tag{3.24}
\end{array}
$$

The paired states with $q_{3}= \pm q^{*}$ are associated with degenerate values of the energy. Some of them can have zero momentum.

An example is the case $N=6$ at $s=\frac{1}{2}$. The Baxter function associated with $q_{3}=0$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(u)=u^{6}-\frac{19 u^{4}}{4}+\frac{323 u^{2}}{80}-\frac{153}{320}, \quad \gamma=\frac{22}{3}, \quad e^{i P}=1 \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

There are other two solutions with $P=0$ which are obtained with $q_{3}= \pm 2 \sqrt{723}$. The associated Baxter functions are related by parity. One of them reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(u)=u^{6}+\frac{2 \sqrt{723} u^{5}}{13}+\frac{235 u^{4}}{52}+\frac{5}{143} \sqrt{\frac{241}{3}} u^{3}-\frac{2523 u^{2}}{2288}-\frac{23 \sqrt{\frac{241}{3}} u}{1144}+\frac{155}{9152} \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma=\frac{227}{20}, \quad e^{i P}=1 \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Odd $N$. For even $N$, the polynomial $P$ is even

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(q_{3}\right)=P\left(-q_{3}\right) \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence we have only $q_{3}= \pm q^{*}$ associated with degenerate states with Baxter functions related by $u \rightarrow-u$. Again, some of these states can have zero momentum.

An example is the case $N=3$ at $s=\frac{1}{2}$. There are two paired zero momentum states with $q_{3}= \pm \frac{3}{2} \sqrt{35}$ and Baxter function (the other is related by parity)

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(u)=u^{3}+\frac{3}{2} \sqrt{\frac{5}{7}} u^{2}+\frac{u}{4}-\frac{1}{8 \sqrt{35}}, \quad \gamma=\frac{15}{2}, \quad e^{i P}=1 \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.3 Twist 4

For $L=4$ and $\operatorname{spin} N$ the Baxter equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
(u+i s)^{4} Q(u+i)+(u-i s)^{4} Q(u-i)=t(u) Q(u) \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
t(u) & =2 u^{4}+q_{2} u^{2}+q_{3} u+q_{4}  \tag{3.31}\\
q_{2} & =N-N^{2}-8 N s-12 s^{2} \tag{3.32}
\end{align*}
$$

In this case, there are two quantum numbers. They must agree with the multiplicities in

$$
\begin{equation*}
[s] \otimes[s] \otimes[s] \otimes[s]=\bigoplus_{n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}=0}^{\infty}\left[4 s+n_{1}+n_{2}+n_{3}\right]=\bigoplus_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{(N+1)(N+2)}{2}[4 s+N] \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $N$ is even, looking for a polynomial solution to the Baxter equation we find the conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
P\left(q_{3}, q_{4}\right) & =0  \tag{3.34}\\
q_{3} R\left(q_{3}, q_{4}\right) & =0 \tag{3.35}
\end{align*}
$$

If $q_{3}=0$, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(0, q_{4}\right) \equiv S\left(q_{4}\right)=0, \quad \operatorname{deg} S=\frac{N}{2}+1 . \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

These are non-degenerate states with $Q(u)=Q(-u)$ hence zero momentum. Notice that in this case the transfer matrix is even, a property which is related to the parity invariance of $Q$.

The solutions with $q_{3} \neq 0$ appear in degenerate pairs and can have zero momentum. If $N$ is odd, looking for a polynomial solution to the Baxter equation we find again conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
P\left(q_{3}, q_{4}\right) & =0,  \tag{3.37}\\
q_{3} R\left(q_{3}, q_{4}\right) & =0 . \tag{3.38}
\end{align*}
$$

If $q_{3}=0$, we find solutions with $Q(u)=-Q(-u)$ hence non-zero momentum. The solutions with $q_{3} \neq 0$ appear in degenerate pairs and can have zero momentum.

### 3.4 Twist 5

For $L=5$ and spin $N$ the Baxter equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
(u+i s)^{5} Q(u+i)+(u-i s)^{5} Q(u-i)=t(u) Q(u) \tag{3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
t(u) & =2 u^{5}+q_{2} u^{3}+q_{3} u^{2}+q_{4} u+q_{5}  \tag{3.40}\\
q_{2} & =N-N^{2}-10 N s-20 s^{2} \tag{3.41}
\end{align*}
$$

In this case, there are three quantum numbers. They must agree with the multiplicities in

$$
\begin{equation*}
[s]^{\otimes 5}=\bigoplus_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{(N+1)(N+2)(N+3)}{6}[5 s+N] . \tag{3.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

We focus on the non-degenerate states. These are present for even $N$ and when the transfer matrix has definite parity. In this case, this means

$$
\begin{equation*}
t(u)=2 u^{5}+q_{2} u^{3}+q_{4} u \tag{3.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Baxter equation reduces to a polynomial in $q_{4}$ that turns out to have degree

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(q_{4}\right)=0, \quad \operatorname{deg} P=\frac{N}{2}+1, \tag{3.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

as in the $L=4$ case.

## 4. Lessons from the previous analysis and general features

Let us stop to illustrate a few important features emerging from the previous long and explicit discussion.

1. The case $L=2$ is well known. There is a single $\mathfrak{s l}(2)$ highest state for each spin. It has the correct zero momentum only for even spin.
2. The case $L=3$ is also rather well known 16-20. We consider only the zero momentum states which are the only relevant ones to planar $\mathcal{N}=4 \mathrm{SYM}$. For even spin, the minimal energy state is a singlet. The other excited states appear always in degenerate pairs. For odd spin, there are no singlet states. Degenerate states are associated with a symmetry in the planar limit relating traces with reversed traces [32]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(D^{n_{1}} \varphi \cdots D^{n_{L}} \varphi\right) \leftrightarrow \operatorname{Tr}\left(D^{n_{L}} \varphi \cdots D^{n_{1}} \varphi\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

3. For $L>3$, the (zero momentum) highest weight states can be divided into two subsets. Singlets with non degenerate energy, and Paired states with degeneracy 2.

From the symmetry of the Baxter equation it is easy to proof the
Theorem 1. The singlets are all obtained by solving the Baxter equation with the requirement that the transfer matrix eigenvalue $t(u)$ has definite parity

$$
\begin{equation*}
t(-u)=(-1)^{L} t(u) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This sets to zero several quantum numbers. Let us relabel the remaining free quantum numbers (conserved charges) as $z_{i}$. The pattern is clear from the following list of definite parity transfer matrices (remember that $q_{2}$ is known)

$$
\begin{align*}
& t_{3}(u)=2 u^{3}+q_{2} u  \tag{4.3}\\
& t_{4}(u)=2 u^{4}+q_{2} u^{2}+z_{1}  \tag{4.4}\\
& t_{5}(u)=2 u^{5}+q_{2} u^{3}+z_{1} u  \tag{4.5}\\
& t_{6}(u)=2 u^{6}+q_{2} u^{4}+z_{1} u^{2}+z_{2}  \tag{4.6}\\
& t_{7}(u)=2 u^{7}+q_{2} u^{5}+z_{1} u^{3}+z_{2} u . \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

The number of singlet states for a certain twist is the number of possible values of these quantum numbers. It is a function of the Lorentz spin given by the following simple formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=2 n, 2 n+1, \quad \# \text { singlets }=\binom{\frac{N}{2}+n-1}{n-1} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

For illustration, we show in figures (1) and (2) the full spectrum of highest weight states at $L=3,4$. A general feature is that the singlet part of the spectrum embraces the full spectrum. In particular, the lowest and highest states are singlets.

## 5. Logarithmic scaling of the anomalous dimensions

The following general information is known about the band of highest weight anomalous dimensions at generic twist $L$. We focus on the scalar $s=1 / 2$ sector, but generalizations
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Figure 1: Full spectrum at twist $L=3$.
are possible. In the $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit, the minimal anomalous dimension has a logarithmic scaling which is twist independent and reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{\min } \sim f(g) \log N \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The most explicit proofs of this statement in $\mathcal{N}=4 \mathrm{SYM}$ are 33-35] and [36, 38]. The scaling function $f(g)$ is proportional to the physical coupling, a.k.a. cusp anomalous dimension [33]. It has been computed by integrability methods at all orders in [38] in full agreement with the available perturbative calculations [37]. At three loops, it reads

$$
\begin{align*}
f(g) & =4 g_{\mathrm{ph}}^{2},  \tag{5.2}\\
g_{\mathrm{ph}}^{2} & =g^{2}-\zeta_{2} g^{4}+\frac{11}{5} \zeta_{2}^{2} g^{6}+\cdots \\
& =g^{2}-\frac{\pi^{2}}{6} g^{4}+\frac{11 \pi^{4}}{180} g^{6}+\cdots \tag{5.3}
\end{align*}
$$

In twist-2, the physical principle behind the scaling eq. (5.1) is simply that the large $N$ limit is nothing but the quasi-elastic $x_{\text {Bjorken }} \rightarrow 1$ deep inelastic scattering regime. This is dominated by universal classical soft gluon emission characterized by the anomalous cusp contribution.
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Figure 2: Full spectrum at twist $L=4$.

The excited anomalous dimensions are expected to scale in the same way but with a different prefactor ranging as follows 34]

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(g) \log N<\gamma<\frac{L}{2} f(g) \log N \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We remark that this can be nicely understood, at strong coupling, in terms of the dual string configurations which have $L$ spikes each contributing $\frac{1}{2} f(g)$ to the coefficient in the case where they are equally spaced [26].

At next-to-leading logarithmic order, a general formula has been recently derived in 39 for the minimal anomalous dimension at generic twist. It reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{\min }=f(g) \log N+f_{\mathrm{sl}}(g, L)+\text { suppressed terms } \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, in our notation for the coupling

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{\mathrm{sl}}(g, L)=\left(\gamma_{E}-(L-2)\right. & \log 2) f(g)-2(7-2 L) \zeta_{3} g^{4}+  \tag{5.6}\\
& +\left(-\frac{L-4}{3} \pi^{2} \zeta_{3}+(62-21 L) \zeta_{5}\right) g^{6}+\cdots
\end{align*}
$$

This formula is remarkable because it gives the explicit twist dependence, reabsorb the scaling function in a compact way and provides the other corrections as $\zeta$-terms with simple twist dependence.

Given the above general constraints, is it possible to explore analytically the spectrum of highest weights at general twist ? A partially positive answer is provided by sum rules that we now describe.

## 6. Linear sum rules at one-loop

Let $\gamma_{L, k}^{(s)}(N)$ denote the anomalous dimensions of the various highest states, labeled by $k$. We consider at one-loop all the three physical values $s=1 / 2,1,3 / 2$ associated with elementary scalars, gauginos, and gauge fields. We can compute the sum of the anomalous dimensions of singlet states

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma_{L}^{(s)}(N)=\sum_{k \in \text { singlets }} \gamma_{L, k}^{(s)}(N) \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It turns out that this quantity is rational! The reason is very simple. The above sum can be computed by the Baxter approach. The anomalous dimensions are given by a rational function of the free charges which are not fixed by the parity constraint on the transfer matrix. These charges are constrained and fully determined by a system of polynomial equations. So, the point is to show that the sum of a rational function over the roots of a system of (rational) polynomials is rational. This simple theorem is proved and discussed in appendix (B).

Given a sequence of rational numbers describing the $N$ dependence of $\Sigma_{L}^{(s)}(N)$, it is possible to look for closed formulas, by using some trial and error combination of harmonic sums, as inspired by the low $L$ cases. Our notation for harmonic sums is standard ( $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbf{a}=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ with $\left.a_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}(N)=\sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{(\operatorname{sign} a)^{k}}{k^{|a|}}, \quad S_{a, \mathbf{b}}(N)=\sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{(\operatorname{sign} a)^{k}}{k^{|a|}} S_{\mathbf{b}}(k) . \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following closed formulae are obtained for the cases $L=4,5,6,7$ (they are fulfilled by any $N$ we have been able to test, typically of the order $\mathcal{O}(100)$ )

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
L=4,5 & \Sigma_{L}^{(s)}(N)=\sum_{n=1}^{\frac{N}{2}}\left[\sigma_{L}^{(s)}(n)-\sigma_{L}^{(s)}(0)\right], \\
L=6,7 & \Sigma_{L}^{(s)}(N)=\sum_{n=1}^{\frac{N}{2}} \sum_{m=1}^{n}\left[\sigma_{L}^{(s)}(m)-\sigma_{L}^{(m)}(0)\right], \tag{6.4}
\end{array}
$$

where for $L=4$

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{4}^{(1 / 2)}(n) & =6 S_{1}(n)+2 S_{1}(2 n-1)-2 S_{-1}(2 n-1),  \tag{6.5}\\
\sigma_{4}^{(1)}(n) & =2 S_{1}(n)+4 S_{1}(n+1)+2 S_{1}(2 n+1)-2 S_{-1}(2 n+1),  \tag{6.6}\\
\sigma_{4}^{(3 / 2)}(n) & =2 S_{1}(n+1)+4 S_{1}(n+2)+2 S_{1}(2 n+1)-2 S_{-1}(2 n+1), \tag{6.7}
\end{align*}
$$

for $L=5$

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{5}^{(1 / 2)}(n) & =8 S_{1}(n)  \tag{6.8}\\
\sigma_{5}^{(1)}(n) & =6 S_{1}(n+1)+2 S_{1}(2 n+1)-2 S_{-1}(2 n+1)  \tag{6.9}\\
\sigma_{5}^{(3 / 2)}(n) & =2 S_{1}(n+1)+6 S_{1}(n+2) \tag{6.10}
\end{align*}
$$

for $L=6$

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{6}^{(1 / 2)}(n) & =10 S_{1}(n)+2 S_{1}(2 n-1)-2 S_{-1}(2 n-1)  \tag{6.11}\\
\sigma_{6}^{(1)}(n) & =2 S_{1}(n)+8 S_{1}(n+1)+2 S_{1}(2 n+1)-2 S_{-1}(2 n+1),  \tag{6.12}\\
\sigma_{6}^{(3 / 2)}(n) & =2 S_{1}(n+1)+8 S_{1}(n+2)+2 S_{1}(2 n+1)-2 S_{-1}(2 n+1), \tag{6.13}
\end{align*}
$$

and for $L=7$

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{7}^{(1 / 2)}(n) & =12 S_{1}(n),  \tag{6.14}\\
\sigma_{7}^{(1)}(n) & =10 S_{1}(n+1)+2 S_{1}(2 n+1)-2 S_{-1}(2 n+1),  \tag{6.15}\\
\sigma_{7}^{(3 / 2)}(n) & =2 S_{1}(n+1)+10 S_{1}(n+2) . \tag{6.16}
\end{align*}
$$

Notice that for $s=1 / 2$ we can also write in a more uniform way

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{4}^{(1 / 2)}(n) & =8 S_{1}(2 n)+4 S_{-1}(2 n)  \tag{6.17}\\
\sigma_{5}^{(1 / 2)}(n) & =8 S_{1}(n)  \tag{6.18}\\
\sigma_{6}^{(1 / 2)}(n) & =12 S_{1}(2 n)+8 S_{-1}(2 n)  \tag{6.19}\\
\sigma_{7}^{(1 / 2)}(n) & =12 S_{1}(n) \tag{6.20}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have exploited the remarkable identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{1}(2 s-1)-S_{-1}(2 s-1)=2 S_{-1}(2 s)+4 S_{1}(2 s)-3 S_{1}(s), \quad s \in 2 \mathbb{N} \tag{6.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 7. Linear sum rules at three loop results in the scalar sector

Starting from the one-loop Bethe roots evaluated with the Baxter approach, one can build the multi-loop anomalous dimensions by feeding the long-range Bethe equations of [1]. This is quite easy in the $s=1 / 2$ case where the Bethe equations are not nested. The procedure is standard (see for instance the detailed discussion in [17]). From a long list for several even values of $N$, one makes an Ansatz with higher transcendentality nested sums and solves the over constrained system of equations. Dropping for simplicity the $s=1 / 2$ label and denoting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{L}(n)=\sum_{\ell \geq 1} g^{2 \ell} \sigma_{L, \ell}(n) \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

one finds the following solutions.
$L=4$. The argument of the harmonic sums is

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\ldots} \equiv S_{\ldots}(2 n) \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{4,2}= & 16 S_{-3}+24 S_{3}-16 S_{-2,-1}-8 S_{-2,1}-8 S_{-1,-2}-8 S_{-1,2}-16 S_{1,-2} \\
& -16 S_{1,2}-16 S_{2,-1}-24 S_{2,1} \\
\sigma_{4,3}^{(1 / 2)}= & 104 S_{-5}+184 S_{5}-144 S_{-4,-1}-104 S_{-4,1}-192 S_{-3,-2}-112 S_{-3,2}-144 S_{-2,-3} \\
& -96 S_{-2,3}-96 S_{-1,-4}-96 S_{-1,4}-192 S_{1,-4}-192 S_{1,4}-184 S_{2,-3}-248 S_{2,3}-176 S_{3,-2} \\
& -288 S_{3,2}-144 S_{4,-1}-216 S_{4,1}+64 S_{-3,-1,-1}+128 S_{-3,-1,1}+64 S_{-3,1,-1}+32 S_{-3,1,1} \\
& +64 S_{-2,-2,-1}+96 S_{-2,-2,1}+64 S_{-2,-1,-2}+64 S_{-2,-1,2}+32 S_{-2,1,-2}+32 S_{-2,1,2} \\
& +64 S_{-2,2,-1}+32 S_{-2,2,1}+64 S_{-1,-3,-1}+64 S_{-1,-3,1}+32 S_{-1,-2,-2}+32 S_{-1,-2,2} \\
& +32 S_{-1,2,-2}+32 S_{-1,2,2}+64 S_{-1,3,-1}+64 S_{-1,3,1}+128 S_{1,-3,-1}+128 S_{1,-3,1}+64 S_{1,-2,-2} \\
& +64 S_{1,-2,2}+64 S_{1,2,-2}+64 S_{1,2,2}+128 S_{1,3,-1}+128 S_{1,3,1}+128 S_{2,-2,-1}+80 S_{2,-2,1} \\
& +64 S_{2,-1,-2}+64 S_{2,-1,2}+96 S_{2,1,-2}+96 S_{2,1,2}+128 S_{2,2,-1}+160 S_{2,2,1}+128 S_{3,-1,-1} \\
& +64 S_{3,-1,1}+128 S_{3,1,-1}+192 S_{3,1,1} . \tag{7.4}
\end{align*}
$$

$\boldsymbol{L}=\mathbf{5}$. The argument of the harmonic sums is

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\ldots} \equiv S \ldots(n) \tag{7.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{5,2}= & 8 S_{3}-8 S_{1,2}-12 S_{2,1}  \tag{7.6}\\
\sigma_{5,4}= & 21 S_{5}-24 S_{1,4}-38 S_{2,3}-46 S_{3,2}-36 S_{4,1}+16 S_{1,2,2}+32 S_{1,3,1} \\
& +24 S_{2,1,2}+40 S_{2,2,1}+48 S_{3,1,1} . \tag{7.7}
\end{align*}
$$

$\boldsymbol{L}=\mathbf{6}$. The argument of the harmonic sums is

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\ldots} \equiv S_{\ldots}(2 n) \tag{7.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\sigma_{6,2}=40 S_{-3}+48 S_{3}-32 S_{-2,-1}-24 S_{-2,1}-16 S_{-1,-2}-16 S_{-1,2}-24 S_{1,-2}$ $-24 S_{1,2}-32 S_{2,-1}-40 S_{2,1}$,
$\sigma_{6,3}=576 S_{-5}+736 S_{5}-480 S_{-4,-1}-384 S_{-4,1}-560 S_{-3,-2}-448 S_{-3,2}-400 S_{-2,-3}$
$-352 S_{-2,3}-192 S_{-1,-4}-192 S_{-1,4}-288 S_{1,-4}-288 S_{1,4}-464 S_{2,-3}-512 S_{2,3}$
$-560 S_{3,-2}-672 S_{3,2}-480 S_{4,-1}-576 S_{4,1}+256 S_{-3,-1,-1}+320 S_{-3,-1,1}$
$+256 S_{-3,1,-1}+192 S_{-3,1,1}+192 S_{-2,-2,-1}+224 S_{-2,-2,1}+128 S_{-2,-1,-2}+128 S_{-2,-1,2}$
$+96 S_{-2,1,-2}+96 S_{-2,1,2}+192 S_{-2,2,-1}+160 S_{-2,2,1}+128 S_{-1,-3,-1}+128 S_{-1,-3,1}$
$+64 S_{-1,-2,-2}+64 S_{-1,-2,2}+64 S_{-1,2,-2}+64 S_{-1,2,2}+128 S_{-1,3,-1}+128 S_{-1,3,1}$
$+192 S_{1,-3,-1}+192 S_{1,-3,1}+96 S_{1,-2,-2}+96 S_{1,-2,2}+96 S_{1,2,-2}+96 S_{1,2,2}+192 S_{1,3,-1}$
$+192 S_{1,3,1}+256 S_{2,-2,-1}+224 S_{2,-2,1}+128 S_{2,-1,-2}+128 S_{2,-1,2}+160 S_{2,1,-2}$
$+160 S_{2,1,2}+256 S_{2,2,-1}+288 S_{2,2,1}+320 S_{3,-1,-1}+256 S_{3,-1,1}$
$+320 S_{3,1,-1}+384 S_{3,1,1}$.
$L=7 . \quad$ The argument of the harmonic sums is

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{\ldots} \equiv & S \ldots(n)  \tag{7.11}\\
\sigma_{7,2}= & 14 S_{3}-12 S_{1,2}-20 S_{2,1},  \tag{7.12}\\
\sigma_{7,3}= & 61 S_{5}-36 S_{1,4}-70 S_{2,3}-94 S_{3,2}-84 S_{4,1}+24 S_{1,2,2}+48 S_{1,3,1} \\
& +40 S_{2,1,2}+72 S_{2,2,1}+96 S_{3,1,1} . \tag{7.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Notice that for $s=1 / 2$ the general expressions

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
L=4,5 & \Sigma_{L}^{(s)}(N)=\sum_{n=1}^{\frac{N}{2}}\left[\sigma_{L}^{(s)}(n)-\sigma_{L}^{(s)}(0)\right], \\
L=6,7 & \Sigma_{L}^{(s)}(N)=\sum_{n=1}^{\frac{N}{2}} \sum_{m=1}^{n}\left[\sigma_{L}^{(s)}(m)-\sigma_{L}^{(m)}(0)\right], \tag{7.15}
\end{array}
$$

simplify since $\sigma_{L}^{(1 / 2)}(0)$ for all the considered $L$ and up to 3 loops.

## 8. Linear sum rules: structural properties and twist-dependent formulas

The previous results show various remarkable structural properties. These are

1. The general formula for $\Sigma_{L}$ up to three loops takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma_{L}(N)=\sum_{n_{1}=1}^{\frac{N}{2}} \sum_{n_{2}=1}^{n_{1}} \cdots \sum_{n_{p}=1}^{n_{p-1}} \sigma_{L}\left(n_{p}\right) \tag{8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the number of sums is $p=n-1$ for both $L=2 n$ and $L=2 n+1$.
2. The internal function $\sigma_{L}\left(n_{p}\right)$ can be written as a linear combination of harmonic sums with total transcendentality equal to $2 \ell-1$ where $\ell$ is the loop order $\ell=1,2,3$.
3. The argument of the harmonic sums is $n_{p}$ for odd $L$ and $2 n_{p}$ for even $L$.
4. The multi-index of the harmonic sums does involve only positive indices for odd $L$.
5. The set of multi-indices is the same for all even $L$ and fixed loop order. The same is true for odd $L$ with a different set of indices.

We have extended the calculation up to $L=13$ testing the above structural properties. In all the considered cases they hold. Also, looking at the $L$ dependence of the coefficients of the harmonic sums, we have been able to write down the following compact and, in our opinion, remarkable expressions.

### 8.1 Odd twist

Up to three loops, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\Sigma_{L}(N)= & 2(L-1) S_{X, 1} g^{2}+\left[(3 L-7) S_{X, 3}-2(L-1) S_{X, 1,2}-4(L-2) S_{X, 2,1}\right] g^{4}+ \\
& +\left[(20 L-79) S_{X, 5}-6(L-1) S_{X, 1,4}-12(2 L-7) S_{X, 4,1}+\right. \\
& -2(8 L-21) S_{X, 2,3}-2(12 L-37) S_{X, 3,2}+4(L-1) S_{X, 1,2,2}+ \\
& +8(L-2) S_{X, 2,1,2}+8(2 L-5) S_{X, 2,2,1}+8(L-1) S_{X, 1,3,1}+ \\
& \left.+24(L-3) S_{X, 3,1,1}\right] g^{6}+\cdots . \tag{8.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{X, \mathbf{a}} \equiv S_{X, \mathbf{a}}\left(\frac{N}{2}\right), \quad X=\underbrace{\{0, \cdots, 0\}}_{\frac{L-3}{2}}, \tag{8.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and a harmonic sum with trailing 0 indices is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{0, \mathbf{a}}(N)=\sum_{n=1}^{N} S_{\mathbf{a}}(n) . \tag{8.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is the usual definition provided we define $\operatorname{sign}(0) \equiv 1$.
The above formula works in all the considered case. It also works for $L=3$ up to two loops. The three loop term is not covered for this initial value.

### 8.2 Even twist

Due to the larger computational complexity of the even twist case, we only present a result at the two loop level. We define in this case

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{S}_{X, \mathbf{a}} \equiv \widetilde{S}_{X, \mathbf{a}}\left(\frac{N}{2}\right), \quad X=\underbrace{\{0, \cdots, 0\}}_{\frac{L-2}{2}} \tag{8.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (notice the most inner $2 i_{p}$ argument)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{S}_{S_{0, \ldots, 0, \mathbf{a}}}(n)=\sum_{i_{1}=1}^{n} \sum_{i_{2}=1}^{i_{1}} \sum_{i=1_{3}}^{i_{2}} \cdots \sum_{i_{p}=1}^{i_{p-1}} S_{\mathbf{a}}\left(2 i_{p}\right) \tag{8.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

One finds for even $L \geq 4$

$$
\begin{align*}
\Sigma_{L}^{(1 / 2)}(N)= & {\left[2 L \widetilde{S}_{X, 1}+2(L-2) \widetilde{S}_{X,-1}\right] g^{2}+}  \tag{8.7}\\
& +\left[4(3 L-8) \widetilde{S}_{X,-3}+12(L-2) \widetilde{S}_{X, 3}-8(L-2) \widetilde{S}_{X,-2,-1}-8(L-3) \widetilde{S}_{X,-2,1}\right. \\
& -4(L-2) \widetilde{S}_{X,-1,-2}-4(L-2) \widetilde{S}_{X,-1,2}-4 L \widetilde{S}_{X, 1,-2}-4 L \\
& -8(L-2) \widetilde{S}_{X, 1,2} \\
X, 2,-1 & \left.-8(L-1) \widetilde{S}_{X, 2,1}\right] g^{4}+\cdots .
\end{align*}
$$

## 9. Large $N$ check: recovering the cusp anomalous dimension

An important check of the previous results eqs. (8.2), (8.7) is that for large $N$ all the ground and excited anomalous dimensions are expected to scale logarithmically with $N$ with a coupling dependence reabsorbed in the physical coupling $g_{\mathrm{ph}}^{2}$.

To check this, let $\vec{a}=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots\right)$ and $\vec{a}_{k}=a_{k}$. If $\vec{a}_{1} \neq 1$ we have at large $N$

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{X, 1, \vec{a}}(N) \sim \frac{N^{p}}{p!} S_{\vec{a}}(\infty) \log N, \quad X=\underbrace{0 \cdots 0}_{p} \tag{9.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Apart from trivial factors depending on the multiplicity of the singlet set of states, we can read the coefficient of the logarithmic leading term by collecting all the nested harmonic sums with a leading 1 index and replacing

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{1, \vec{a}}(N) \rightarrow S_{\vec{a}}(\infty) \tag{9.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now present the detailed check of the $g_{\mathrm{ph}}^{2}$ reshuffling for all the expressions that we have listed in the previous sections.

### 9.1 Odd twist at three loops

In this case, we use eq. (8.2) and write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma_{L}(N) \sim \frac{1}{p!}\left(\frac{N}{2}\right)^{p} h(g) \log N, \quad p=\frac{L-3}{2} \tag{9.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the function $h(g)$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
h(g)= & 2(L-1) g^{2}+  \tag{9.4}\\
& +\left[-2(L-1) S_{2}(\infty)\right] g^{4}+ \\
& +\left[-6(L-1) S_{4}(\infty)+4(L-1) S_{2,2}(\infty)+8(L-1) S_{3,1}(\infty)\right] g^{6}+\cdots
\end{align*}
$$

Notice that the number of singlets is asymptotically

$$
\begin{equation*}
\# \text { singlets }=\binom{\frac{N}{2}+p}{p} \sim \frac{1}{p!}\left(\frac{N}{2}\right)^{p} \tag{9.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, we can divide by the multiplet dimension and write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\Sigma}_{L}(N) \sim h(g) \log N \tag{9.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replacing the following asymptotic sums

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
S_{2}(\infty)=\zeta_{2}=\frac{\pi^{2}}{6}, & S_{2,2}(\infty)=\frac{7 \pi^{4}}{360} \\
S_{4}(\infty)=\zeta_{4}=\frac{\pi^{4}}{90}, & S_{3,1}(\infty)=\frac{\pi^{4}}{72} \tag{9.8}
\end{array}
$$

we find

$$
\begin{align*}
h(g) & =2(L-1)\left(g^{2}-\zeta_{2} g^{4}+\frac{11 \pi^{4}}{180} g^{6}+\cdots\right)= \\
& =2(L-1) g_{\mathrm{ph}}^{2} \tag{9.9}
\end{align*}
$$

## 9.2 $L=4$ at three loops

The quantities $\sigma_{4, \ell}$ contain the following nested sums with a leading 1 (and argument $2 n$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{4,1}= & 8 S_{1}+\cdots,  \tag{9.10}\\
\sigma_{4,2}= & -16\left(S_{1,2}+S_{1,-2}\right)+\cdots,  \tag{9.11}\\
\sigma_{4,3}= & -192\left(S_{1,4}+S_{1,-4}\right)+128\left(S_{1,3,1}+S_{1,3,-1}+S_{1,-3,1}+S_{1,-3,-1}\right)+ \\
& +64\left(S_{1,2,2}+S_{1,2,-2}+S_{1,-2,2}+S_{1,-2,-2}\right)+\cdots . \tag{9.12}
\end{align*}
$$

The required asymptotic values are

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{2}+\left.S_{-2}\right|_{\infty} & =\frac{\pi^{2}}{12}  \tag{9.13}\\
S_{4}+\left.S_{-4}\right|_{\infty} & =\frac{\pi^{4}}{720}  \tag{9.14}\\
S_{3,1}+S_{3,-1}+S_{-3,1}+\left.S_{-3,-1}\right|_{\infty} & =\frac{\pi^{4}}{288}  \tag{9.15}\\
S_{2,2}+S_{2,-2}+S_{-2,2}+\left.S_{-2,-2}\right|_{\infty} & =\frac{7 \pi^{4}}{1440} \tag{9.16}
\end{align*}
$$

Collecting, we find

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{4} & \sim 8 \log N\left(g^{2}-\zeta_{2} g^{4}+\frac{11 \pi^{4}}{180} g^{6}+\cdots\right) \\
& =8 \log N g_{\mathrm{ph}}^{2} \tag{9.17}
\end{align*}
$$

## 9.3 $L=6$ at three loops

The quantities $\sigma_{6, \ell}$ contain the following nested sums with a leading 1 (and argument $2 n$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{6,1}= & 12 S_{1}+\cdots,  \tag{9.18}\\
\sigma_{6,2}= & -24\left(S_{1,2}+S_{1,-2}\right)+\cdots,  \tag{9.19}\\
\sigma_{6,3}= & -288\left(S_{1,4}+S_{1,-4}\right)+192\left(S_{1,3,1}+S_{1,3,-1}+S_{1,-3,1}+S_{1,-3,-1}\right)+ \\
& +96\left(S_{1,2,2}+S_{1,2,-2}+S_{1,-2,2}+S_{1,-2,-2}\right)+\cdots \tag{9.20}
\end{align*}
$$

With the previous asymptotic values, we find

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{6} & \sim 12 \log N\left(g^{2}-\zeta_{2} g^{4}+\frac{11 \pi^{4}}{180} g^{6}+\cdots\right) \\
& =12 \log N g_{\mathrm{ph}}^{2} \tag{9.21}
\end{align*}
$$

### 9.4 General even $L$ at two loops

The asymptotic values given for the cases $L=4,6$ are sufficient to check the two loop general even $L$ case.

## 10. Linear sum rules: subleading corrections at large $N$

We can take our master formula for odd twist eq. (8.2) and expand $\bar{\Sigma}_{L}(N)$ at large $N$ computing the subleading part analogous to $f_{\text {sl }}$ defined in eq. (5.5). The expansion requires a treatment of multiple sums with various trailing zeroes of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underbrace{S_{0, \ldots, 0, \mathbf{x}} .}_{p} \tag{10.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

They can be treated with the nice results reported in appendix (G). It is clear that some structure immediately arises. For instance, let us consider the twist 5 case where $p=1$. The leading terms in $\Sigma$, proportional to $N$, arise from sums of the form $S_{0,1, a, \mathbf{X}}(n)$ where $n=N / 2$ and $a>1$. From eq. (C.5) of appendix (G), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{0,1, a, \mathbf{X}}(n) & =(n+1) S_{1, a, \mathbf{X}}(n)-S_{0, a, \mathbf{X}}(n)  \tag{10.2}\\
& =(n+1)\left[S_{1, a, \mathbf{X}}(n)-S_{a, \mathbf{X}}(n)\right]+S_{a-1, \mathbf{X}}(n) . \tag{10.3}
\end{align*}
$$

For large $n$, we need only the first bracket whose expansion contains the terms

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{1, a, \mathbf{X}}(n)-S_{a, \mathbf{X}}(n)=\left(\log n+\gamma_{\mathrm{E}}-1\right) \zeta_{a, \mathbf{X}}+\ldots, \tag{10.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where dots denote other constant terms. The first part combines to give the physical coupling which thus must appear in the combination

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\log n+\gamma_{\mathrm{E}}-1\right) g_{\mathrm{ph}}^{2} . \tag{10.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The prefactor is easily generalized to a generic odd twist, i.e. $p>1$. The leading term of $\underbrace{S_{0, \ldots, 0,1, X}}_{p}(n)$ for large $n$ replaces it by the general form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log n+\gamma_{\mathrm{E}}-1 \rightarrow \frac{1}{p!}\left[a_{p}\left(\log n+\gamma_{\mathrm{E}}\right)-b_{p}\right] \tag{10.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{p} & =a_{p-1}=1,  \tag{10.7}\\
b_{p} & =b_{p-1}-\frac{1}{p}, \quad b_{1}=1, \longrightarrow b_{p}=S_{1}(p) . \tag{10.8}
\end{align*}
$$

The remaining subleading pieces can be worked out in a similar way. The final result is

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{\Sigma}_{L}(N)= & 2(L-1)\left[\log \frac{N}{2}+\gamma_{\mathrm{E}}-S_{1}\left(\frac{L-3}{2}\right)\right] g_{\mathrm{ph}}^{2}-(3 L-7) \zeta_{3} g^{4}+ \\
& +\left[\frac{L-2}{3} \pi^{2} \zeta_{3}+(10 L-31) \zeta_{5}\right] g^{6}+\cdots \tag{10.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Eq. (10.9) is the generalization of the recent result eq. (5.6). The structure is quite similar, although eq. (10.9) involves the sum over the singlet anomalous dimensions !

## 11. Higher order sum rules: the quadratic case

Let us define higher order sum rules by considering sums of powers of the individual anomalous dimensions. In particular, we focus on the quadratic sum

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Q}_{L}^{(s)}(N)=\sum_{k \in \text { singlets }}\left[\gamma_{L, k}^{(s)}(N)\right]^{2} . \tag{11.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remarkably, we find simple sum rules also for these higher order sums. We illustrate this in the special cases $L=4,5$ for $s=1 / 2$. We find again the general representation (valid for $L=4,5$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Q}_{L}(N)=\sum_{n=1}^{N / 2} \sum_{\ell \geq 1} g^{2 \ell+2} q_{L, \ell}(n), \tag{11.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$\boldsymbol{L}=4$. The argument of the harmonic sums is

$$
\begin{align*}
S \ldots \equiv & S_{\ldots}(2 n)  \tag{11.3}\\
q_{4,1}= & -48 S_{-2}-128 S_{2}+64 S_{-1,-1}+32 S_{-1,1}+64 S_{1,-1}+128 S_{1,1},  \tag{11.4}\\
q_{4,2}= & -384 S_{-4}-832 S_{4}+576 S_{-3,-1}+256 S_{-3,1}+704 S_{-2,-2}+384 S_{-2,2} \\
& +576 S_{-1,-3}+384 S_{-1,3}+768 S_{1,-3}+1152 S_{1,3}+768 S_{2,-2}+1280 S_{2,2}+576 S_{3,-1} \\
& +1024 S_{3,1}-256 S_{-2,-1,-1}-512 S_{-2,-1,1}-256 S_{-2,1,-1}-128 S_{-2,1,1}-256 S_{-1,-2,-1} \\
& -384 S_{-1,-2,1}-256 S_{-1,-1,-2}-256 S_{-1,-1,2}-128 S_{-1,1,-2}-128 S_{-1,1,2}-256 S_{-1,2,-1} \\
& -128 S_{-1,2,1}-512 S_{1,-2,-1}-256 S_{1,-2,1}-256 S_{1,-1,-2}-256 S_{1,-1,2} \\
& -512 S_{1,1,-2}-512 S_{1,1,2}-512 S_{1,2,-1}-768 S_{1,2,1}-512 S_{2,-1,-1}-256 S_{2,-1,1} \\
& -512 S_{2,1,-1}-896 S_{2,1,1} . \tag{11.5}
\end{align*}
$$

$\boldsymbol{L}=\mathbf{5}$. The argument of the harmonic sums is

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{\ldots} \equiv & S_{\ldots}(n)  \tag{11.6}\\
q_{5,1}= & 128 S_{1,1}-88 S_{2}  \tag{11.7}\\
q_{5,2}= & -212 S_{4}+384 S_{1,3}+432 S_{2,2}+352 S_{3,1}-256 S_{1,1,2} \\
& -384 S_{1,2,1}-448 S_{2,1,1},  \tag{11.8}\\
q_{5,3}= & -702 S_{6}+1616 S_{1,5}+2112 S_{2,4}+2352 S_{3,3}+2072 S_{4,2}+1408 S_{5,1} \\
& -1536 S_{1,1,4}-2080 S_{1,2,3}-2464 S_{1,3,2}-2304 S_{1,4,1}-2336 S_{2,1,3} \\
& -2784 S_{2,2,2}-2768 S_{2,3,1}-2912 S_{3,1,2}-2912 S_{3,2,1}-2544 S_{4,1,1}+768 S_{1,1,2,2} \\
& +1536 S_{1,1,3,1}+1152 S_{1,2,1,2}+1920 S_{1,2,2,1}+2304 S_{1,3,1,1}+1344 S_{2,1,1,2} \\
& +2112 S_{2,1,2,1}+2496 S_{2,2,1,1}+2688 S_{3,1,1,1} \tag{11.9}
\end{align*}
$$

## 12. Quadratic sum rules: Structural properties and twist dependent formulas

In complete analogy with the linear sum rules, we can observe basically the same structural properties also in the case of the quadratic sum rules. In particular the general formula for $\mathcal{Q}_{L}$ seems to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Q}_{L}(N)=\sum_{n_{1}=1}^{\frac{N}{2}} \sum_{n_{2}=1}^{n_{1}} \cdots \sum_{n_{p}=1}^{n_{p-1}} q_{L}\left(n_{p}\right), \tag{12.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the number of sums is again $p=n-1$ for both $L=2 n$ and $L=2 n+1$, but now the total transcendentality of the sums in $q_{L}$ is equal to $2 \ell$ where $\ell$ is the loop order $\ell=1,2,3$.

Also in this case, we have extended the calculation up to $L=13$. Now, the $L$ dependence of the harmonic sums coefficients is quadratic instead of linear and we can write down the following compact expressions.

### 12.1 Odd twist

We have, at two loops,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{Q}_{L}(N)= & {\left[8(L-1)^{2} S_{X, 1,1}-4\left(2 L^{2}-7 L+7\right) S_{X, 2}\right] g^{4}+}  \tag{12.2}\\
& +\left[-16(L-1)^{2} S_{X, 1,1,2}+12(3 L-7)(L-1) S_{X, 1,3}\right. \\
& -32(L-2)(L-1) S_{X, 1,2,1}-4\left(12 L^{2}-74 L+123\right) S_{X, 4} \\
& +4\left(13 L^{2}-58 L+73\right) S_{X, 2,2}+8\left(7 L^{2}-38 L+59\right) S_{X, 3,1} \\
& \left.-16\left(3 L^{2}-12 L+13\right) S_{X, 2,1,1}\right] g^{6}+\cdots .
\end{align*}
$$

where, again,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{X, \mathbf{a}} \equiv S_{X, \mathbf{a}}\left(\frac{N}{2}\right), \quad X=\underbrace{\{0, \cdots, 0\}}_{\frac{L-3}{2}} \tag{12.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The cusp anomaly check is clearly passed by the combination

$$
\begin{equation*}
8(L-1)^{2} S_{X, 1,1} g^{4}-16(L-1)^{2} S_{X, 1,1,2} g^{6} \tag{12.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 12.2 Even twist

Due to the larger computational complexity of the even twist case, we only present a one-loop result. We define in this case

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{S}_{X, \mathbf{a}} \equiv \widetilde{S}_{X, \mathbf{a}}\left(\frac{N}{2}\right), \quad X=\underbrace{\{0, \cdots, 0\}}_{\frac{L-2}{2}} \tag{12.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (notice the most inner $2 i_{p}$ argument)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{S}_{\underbrace{}_{p}, \ldots, 0, \mathbf{a}}(n)=\sum_{i_{1}=1}^{n} \sum_{i_{2}=1}^{i_{1}} \sum_{i=1_{3}}^{i_{2}} \ldots \sum_{i_{p}=1}^{i_{p-1}} S_{\mathbf{a}}\left(2 i_{p}\right) \tag{12.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

One finds for even $L \geq 4$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{Q}_{L}(N)=\left[-8\left(2 L^{2}-5 L+4\right) S_{X, 2}-8(2 L-5)(L-2) S_{X,-2}\right.  \tag{12.7}\\
&+8 L^{2} S_{X, 1,1}+8 L(L-2) S_{X, 1,-1} \\
&\left.+8(L-2)^{2} S_{X,-1,1}+8 L(L-2) S_{X,-1,-1}\right] g^{4}+\cdots
\end{align*}
$$

## 13. Large $N$ check for the quadratic sum rules

Here we report the cusp anomalous dimension check for the various formulas computing quadratic sum rules at $L=4$ (two loops) and $L=5$ (three loops).

## 13.1 $\mathrm{L}=4$ at two loops

The squared logarithmic terms are

$$
\begin{align*}
& q_{4,1}=128 S_{1,1}+\cdots  \tag{13.1}\\
& q_{4,2}=-512 S_{1,1,-2}-512 S_{1,1,2}+\cdots \tag{13.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, at two loops

$$
\begin{align*}
q_{4} & =64 \log ^{2} N\left(g^{4}-2 \zeta_{2} g^{6}+\cdots\right) \\
& =64 \log ^{2} N\left(g^{2}-\zeta_{2} g^{4}+\cdots\right)^{2} \\
& =64 \log ^{2} N g_{\mathrm{ph}}^{4} \tag{13.3}
\end{align*}
$$

## 13.2 $\mathrm{L}=5$ at three loops

The squared logarithmic terms are

$$
\begin{align*}
& q_{5,1}=128 S_{1,1}+\cdots  \tag{13.4}\\
& q_{5,2}=-256 S_{1,1,2}+\cdots  \tag{13.5}\\
& q_{5,3}=-1536 S_{1,1,4}+768 S_{1,1,2,2}+1536 S_{1,1,3,1}+\cdots \tag{13.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, at three loops

$$
\begin{align*}
q_{5} & =64 \log ^{2} N\left(g^{4}-2 \zeta_{2} g^{6}+\frac{3 \pi^{4}}{20} g^{8}+\cdots\right) \\
& =64 \log ^{2} N\left(g^{2}-\zeta_{2} g^{4}+\frac{11 \pi^{4}}{180} g^{6}+\cdots\right)^{2} \\
& =64 \log ^{2} N g_{\mathrm{ph}}^{4} . \tag{13.7}
\end{align*}
$$

## 14. One loop cubic sum rule

It is clear that it is possible to derive sum rules at arbitrary high order. The determination of the explicit formulas is a matter of computational effort. Here, we just give, as an example, the cubic sum rule

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{C}_{L}^{(s)}(N)=\sum_{k \in \text { singlets }}\left[\gamma_{L, k}^{(s)}(N)\right]^{3} \tag{14.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the scalar sector $s=1 / 2$ and odd twist $L$ at one-loop. We have tested it again up to $L=13$. It reads

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{C}_{L}(N)=\left[48(L-1)^{3} S_{X, 1,1,1}-24(L-1)\left(2 L^{2}-7 L+7\right) S_{X, 1,2}\right.  \tag{14.2}\\
&-48(L-2)\left(L^{2}-4 L+7\right) S_{X, 2,1} \\
&\left.+8\left(6 L^{3}-45 L^{2}+124 L-121\right) S_{X, 3}\right] g^{6}+\cdots
\end{align*}
$$

where, again,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{X, \mathbf{a}} \equiv S_{X, \mathbf{a}}\left(\frac{N}{2}\right), \quad X=\underbrace{\{0, \cdots, 0\}}_{\frac{L-3}{2}} \tag{14.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 15. Conclusions

In summary, we have shown that it is useful to define higher-order sum rules for the anomalous dimensions of singlet unpaired twist-operators in $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM for arbitrarily high twist. Of course, these combinations contain less information than the separate anomalous dimensions. However, on the other hand, they admit multi-loop closed expressions in terms of the usual nested harmonic sums which are ubiquitous in this context. These expressions provide interesting handles for analytical calculations in higher twist.

The basic technical hint behind the sum rules is that they are related to restricted traces of powers of the dilatation operator. As such, they are quite simpler objects than the separate anomalous dimensions. As an analogy, it is typically simpler to focus on the coefficient of a polynomial instead of looking at its explicit and complicated roots.

Our multi-loop results have been obtained in the $\mathfrak{s l}(2)$ sector where the long-range Bethe equations are particularly simple. At one-loop, analogous results have been presented for the other basic sectors describing purely fermionic or gauge operators. It should be possible to extend the analysis to these cases at higher loops by working out the perturbative expansion of the relevant higher rank long-range equations.

It remains to be understood if the closed expressions we found for the sum rules are just a curiosity or a manifestation of deeper properties. In this respect, their interpretation in the light of AdS/CFT duality would certainly be a very interesting issue. Indeed, in this context, the linear sum rules compute sums of energies of dual string configurations with a fixed number of spikes, but different values of the internal degrees of freedom associated with the band of states (for twist > 2) [34. Hence, on the string side, the proposed sum rule suggest to investigate the properties of energies after a sort of averaging over these kinematical features. The powerful analysis in [26] could prove to be useful in this respect.
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## A. Symmetric polynomials and sums of powers

Given a finite set of complex numbers $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in \mathbb{C}$, the symmetric polynomials $\Pi_{k}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ are defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(x-x_{i}\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{k} \Pi_{k}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) x^{k} \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{align*}
\Pi_{0}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) & =1  \tag{A.2}\\
\Pi_{1}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) & =x_{1}+\cdots x_{n},  \tag{A.3}\\
\Pi_{2}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) & =\sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n} x_{i} x_{j},  \tag{A.4}\\
& \cdots  \tag{A.5}\\
\Pi_{n}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) & =\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} .
\end{align*}
$$

The relation with the symmetric sums of powers

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{k}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_{i}^{k} \tag{A.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

is given by the generating function relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Pi_{k} t^{k}=\exp \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{k+1} \frac{S_{k}}{k} t^{k}\right) \tag{A.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

or by the Newton-Girard recursion

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1)^{m} m \Pi_{m}+\sum_{k=1}^{m}(-1)^{k+m} \Pi_{m-k} S_{k}=0 \tag{A.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The resulting map $\left(\Pi_{1}, \ldots, \Pi_{n}\right) \leftrightarrow\left(S_{1}, \ldots, S_{n}\right)$ is birational, actually polynomial, and begins with

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
S_{1}=\Pi_{1}, & \Pi_{1}=S_{1}, \\
S_{2}=\Pi_{1}^{2}-2 \Pi_{2}, & \Pi_{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(S_{1}^{2}-S_{2}\right),  \tag{A.10}\\
S_{3}=\Pi_{1}^{3}-3 \Pi_{1} \Pi_{2}+3 \Pi_{3}, & \Pi_{3}=\frac{1}{6}\left(S_{1}^{3}-3 S_{1} S_{2}+2 S_{3}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

## B. Rationality proof

Theorem 2. Let $R(x)$ be a rational function of $x$ over $\mathbb{Q}$, i.e. the ratio of two polynomials in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$. For any polynomial with rational coefficients $P(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{x \in \mathbb{C}: P(x)=0} R(x) \in \mathbb{Q} \tag{B.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $d=\operatorname{deg} P$. For any root of $P(x)=0$ we can write the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{d-1} c_{n} x^{n} \tag{B.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with suitable coefficients $\left\{c_{n}\right\}$ which are rational functions of the coefficients appearing in $R$. They are the same for all roots of $P$. As is well known (see appendix (A)), the sums

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n}=\sum_{x \in \mathbb{C}: P(x)=0} x^{n} \tag{B.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

are all fully determined as rational functions of the coefficients of $P(x)$. Thus they are rational and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{x \in \mathbb{C}: P(x)=0} R(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{d-1} c_{n} S_{n} \in \mathbb{Q} \tag{B.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This theorem can be greatly extended by replacing $x$ by a finite set of variables and $P(x)=0$ by a system of polynomial equations with rational coefficients. The proof is the same as in the one dimensional case after reduction by Gröbner basis methods 40.

In order to illustrate the above (constructive) theorem in the univariate case, let us consider in details as a simple example the derivation of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{x^{6}+x+1=0} \frac{1}{x}=-1 \tag{B.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We start from the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{x}=-1-x^{5}, \quad \text { iff } \quad x^{6}+x+1=0 \tag{B.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{x^{6}+x+1=0} \frac{1}{x}=-6-\sum_{x^{6}+x+1=0} x^{5} \tag{B.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the results of appendix (A) we compute for $P(x)=x^{6}+x+1$ the explicit sums of powers

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{1}=S_{2}=S_{3}=S_{4}=0, \quad S_{5}=-5 \tag{B.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, we have proved that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{x^{6}+x+1=0} \frac{1}{x}=-6-S_{5}=-1 \tag{B.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

## C. Harmonic sums with trailing 0 indices and positive indices

A leading 0 index means

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{0, \mathbf{X}}(N)=\sum_{n=1}^{N} S_{\mathbf{X}}(n) \tag{C.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{0}(N) & =N  \tag{C.2}\\
S_{0,0}(N) & =\frac{N(N+1)}{2!}, \tag{C.3}
\end{align*}
$$

and the general formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underbrace{\underbrace{}_{0, \ldots, 0}}_{p}(N)=\binom{N+p-1}{p} . \tag{C.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first non trivial result is

Theorem 3. For any $a \geq 1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{0, a, \mathbf{X}}(N)=(N+1) S_{a, \mathbf{X}}-S_{a-1, \mathbf{X}}, \tag{C.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We can prove the theorem by induction on $N$. Taking the difference of the equation between $N+1$ and $N$ we find

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{0, a, \mathbf{X}}(N+1)-(N+2) S_{a, \mathbf{X}}(N+1)+S_{a-1, \mathbf{X}}(N+1) \\
& -S_{0, a, \mathbf{X}}(N)+(N+1) S_{a, \mathbf{X}}(N)-S_{a-1, \mathbf{X}}(N)= \\
& \quad=S_{a, \mathbf{X}}(N+1)-(N+2) S_{a, \mathbf{X}}(N+1) \\
& \quad \quad+\frac{1}{(N+1)^{a-1}} S_{\mathbf{X}}(N+1)+(N+1) S_{a, \mathbf{X}}(N)  \tag{C.6}\\
& \quad=S_{a, \mathbf{X}}(N+1)-S_{a, \mathbf{X}}(N)-\frac{N+2}{(N+1)^{a}} S_{\mathbf{X}}(N+1)+\frac{1}{(N+1)^{a-1}} S_{\mathbf{X}}(N+1) \\
& \quad=S_{a, \mathbf{X}}(N+1)-S_{a, \mathbf{X}}(N)-\frac{1}{(N+1)^{a}} S_{\mathbf{X}}(N+1)=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

The generalization is

Theorem 4. For any $a \geq 1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{p}^{S_{0, \ldots, 0, a, \mathbf{X}}}(N)=\frac{1}{p}[(N+p) \underbrace{S_{0, \ldots, 0, a, \mathbf{X}}}_{p-1}-\underbrace{S_{0, \ldots, 0, a-1, \mathbf{X}}}_{p-1}] . \tag{C.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. It follows from induction over $p$. Let us assume that eq. (C.7) is true for $p$ for all $N$, then we can prove that it is true for $p+1$ by induction over $N$. Following similar steps
as in the proof of Theorem C. 1 we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{p+1} \underbrace{}_{p, \ldots, 0, a, X}(N+1)-\frac{1}{p+1}[(N+p+2) \underbrace{S_{0, \ldots, 0, a, X}}_{\underbrace{}_{p}}(N+1)-\underbrace{}_{\underbrace{0, \ldots, 0, a-1, X}_{p}}(N+1)] \\
& -\underbrace{S_{0, \ldots, 0, a, X}}_{p+1}(N)+\frac{1}{p+1}[(N+p+1) \underbrace{S_{0, \ldots, 0, a, X}}_{p}(N)-\underbrace{S_{0}^{0, \ldots, 0, a-1, X}}_{p},(N)] \\
& =S_{\underbrace{0, \ldots, 0, a, X}_{p}}(N+1)-\frac{N+p+1}{p+1} \underbrace{S_{0, \ldots, 0, a, X}}_{p-1}(N+1)-\frac{1}{p+1} S_{S_{0}, \ldots, 0, a, X}(N+1) \\
& +\frac{1}{p+1} S_{p-1}^{S_{0, \ldots, 0, a-1, X}(N+1)}=\frac{p}{p+1} S_{\underbrace{}_{p}, \ldots, 0, a, X}(N+1) \\
& -\frac{1}{p+1}[(N+p+1) \underbrace{S_{0, \ldots, 0, a, X}}_{p-1}(N+1)-\underbrace{S_{0, \ldots, 0, a-1, X}(N+1)}_{p-1}]=0
\end{aligned}
$$
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